arXiv:1505.02345v1 [math.FA] 10 May 2015

Optimal recovery of convolutions of n functions according to linear information

V. F. Babenko, M. S. Gunko

Oles Honchar Dnepropetrovsk National University

Abstract

We found the optimal linear information and the optimal method of its use to recovery of the convolution of n functions on some classes of 2π - periodic functions.

Key words: optimal recovery, convolutions, optimal information

Let C and L_p, $1 \le p \le \infty$, be the spaces 2π - periodic functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the corresponding norms $\|\cdot\|_C$ and $\|\cdot\|_{Lp}$. Let $M_1, ..., M_n \subset L_1$ be some classes of functions; $x_1 \in M_1$, $x_2 \in M_2$, ..., $x_n \in M_n$;

$$(x_1 * x_2)(\tau) = \int_0^{2\pi} x_1(\tau - t)x_2(t)dt$$

be the convolution of two functions, x_1 and x_2, and

$$(x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n)(\tau) = (x_1 * (x_2 * ... * x_n))(\tau) =$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} x_1(\tau - t_1 - ... - t_{n-1})x_2(t_1)...x_n(t_{n-1})dt_1dt_2...dt_{n-1}$$

be the convolution of n functions $x_1, ..., x_n$.

Suppose that for $j = 1, ..., n$ there are given sets $T_j = (T_{j,1}, ..., T_{j,m_j})$ of linear continuous functionals

$$T_{j,l} : \mathrm{span}(M_j) \to \ \mathbb{R}, \ \ l = 1, ..., m_j.$$

Vectors

$$T_j(x_j) = (T_{j,1}(x_j), ..., T_{j,m_j}(x_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_j}, \ x_j \in M_j, \ j = 1, ..., n,$$

will be called the linear information about x_1, x_2,..., x_n of the type $(m_1, ..., m_n)$ (or $(m_1, ..., m_n)$-information). An arbitrary mapping

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_n} \to L_p$$

we will be called a method of the recovery of convolution $x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n$ with respect to the $(m_1, ..., m_n)$-information in the L_p space.

We set:

$$R(x_1, ...x_n; T_1, ..., T_n; \Phi)(t) = (x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n)(t) - \Phi(T_1(x_1), ..., T_n(x_n))(t),$$

$$R(M_1, ..., M_n; T_1, ..., T_n; \Phi; L_p) = \sup_{\substack{x_j \in M_j, \\ j=1,...,n}} \|R(x_1, ..., x_n; T_1, ..., T_n; \Phi)(\cdot)\|_{L_p}, \qquad (1)$$

$$R(M_1, ..., M_n; T_1, ..., T_n; L_p) = \inf_{\Phi} R(M_1, ..., M_n; T_1, ..., T_n; \Phi; L_p), \qquad (2)$$

$$R_{m_1,...,m_n}(M_1, ..., M_n; L_p) = \inf_{T_1,...,T_n} R(M_1, ..., M_n; T_1, ..., T_n; L_p) \qquad (3)$$

($\inf\limits_{\Phi}$ is taken over all possible methods of recovery, and $\inf\limits_{T_1,...,T_n}$ is taken over all possible sets of functionals that give $(m_1, ..., m_n)$– information about $(x_1, ..., x_n)$;

$$R_N(M_1, ..., M_n; L_p) = \inf_{m_1+...+m_n=N} R_{m_1,...,m_n}(M_1, ..., M_n; L_p). \qquad (4)$$

Quantity (1) will be called the error of the method Φ of recovery of the convolution of n functions $x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n$ on classes $M_1, ..., M_n$ according to information $T_1, ..., T_n$ in the space L_p; quantity (2) will be the called the optimal error of recovery of $x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n$ on classes $M_1, ..., M_n$ according to given information of the type $(m_1, ..., m_n)$; quantity (3) will be called the optimal error of recovery of $x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n$ on $M_1, ..., M_n$ with respect to the $(m_1, ..., m_n)$ - information; and quantity (4) will be called the optimal error of recovery of $x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n$ on $M_1, ..., M_n$ according to the information of the volume N.

If, for given $T_1, ..., T_n$, a method Φ^* that realizes $\inf\limits_{\Phi}$ on the right-hand side of (2) exists, then Φ^* will be called the optimal method of using this information. If sets of functionals $T_1^*, ..., T_n^*$ that realize lower bound in the right-hand side of (3) exist, then we will call them the optimal $(m_1, ..., m_n)$ - information for recovery of $x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n$ on $M_1, ..., M_n$ in the space L_p.

Numbers $m_1^0, ..., m_n^0$, for which the infimum is realized in (4), will be called the volumes of information about $x_1, ..., x_n$, at the same time, the optimal $(m_1^0, ..., m_n^0)$ - information will be called the optimal information about $x_1, ..., x_n$ of the volume N.

We will study the next problem of optimal recovery of convolution of n functions according to linear information about the functions.

Let the classes $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ and the numbers $p \in [1, \infty]$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Find the value (4), optimal volumes $m_1^*, \ldots, m_n^*$ of information $(m_1^* + \ldots + m_n^* = N)$, optimal $(m_1^*, \ldots, m_n^*)$-information $T_1^*, ..., T_n^*$, and optimal method Φ^* of its use.

The problem of optimal recovery of convolution of two functions from various classes was studied in [1]. The first results on investigation of this problem were also obtained in this paper. For the other results in this direction, see [2].

We define the sets $M_j(T_j)$ as follows:

$$M_j(T_j) = \{x_j \in M_j : T_j(x_j) = 0\}, j = 1, ..., n.$$

Let

$$M(T_j) := M_1 \times ... \times M_{j-1} \times M_j(T_j) \times M_{j+1} \times ... \times M_n, j = 1, ..., n.$$

The following lemma gives a lower estimate for the value (1), and, hence, for the value (2).

Lemma 1. *Let classes $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ be convex and centrally - symmetric. Then, for any sets of functionals $T_1, ..., T_n$ and for any method of recovery Φ*

$$R(M_1, ..., M_n; T_1, ..., T_n; \Phi; L_p) \geq$$

$$\geq \max_{j=1,...,n} \sup_{(x_1,...,x_n) \in M(T_j)} \|(x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n)(\cdot)\|_{L_p}.$$

☐ Let us prove that

$$R(M_1,...,M_n;T_1,..,T_n;\Phi;L_p)\geq \sup_{(x_1,...,x_n)\in M(T_1)}\|(x_1*...*x_n)(\cdot)\|_{L_p}.$$

We have (below, θ is null-element of the space $\mathbb{R}^{m_1}$)

$$R(M_1,...,M_n;T_1,..,T_n;\Phi;L_p)\geq$$

$$\geq \sup_{(x_1,...,x_n)\in M(T_1)}\|(x_1*x_2*...*x_n)(\cdot)-\Phi(\theta,T_2(x_2),...,T_n(x_n))(\cdot)\|_{L_p}\geq$$

$$\geq \sup_{(x_1,...,x_n)\in M(T_1)}\max\big\{\|(x_1*x_2*...*x_n)(\cdot)-\Phi(\theta,T_2(x_2),...,T_n(x_n))(\cdot)\|_{L_p},$$

$$\|-(x_1*x_2*...*x_n)(\cdot)-\Phi(\theta,T_2(x_2),...,T_n(x_n))(\cdot)\|_{L_p}\big\}\geq$$

$$\geq \sup_{(x_1,...,x_n)\in M(T_1)}\|(x_1*x_2*...*x_n)(\cdot)\|_{L_p}.$$

Similarly, we obtain that for $j=2,...,n$

$$R(M_1,...,M_n;T_1,..,T_n;\Phi;L_p)\geq \sup_{(x_1,...,x_n)\in M(T_j)}\|(x_1*x_2*...*x_n)(\cdot)\|_{L_p}.$$

Lemma is proved. ☐

Let F_p be the unit ball in L_p, $K\in L_1$, $\int\limits_0^{2\pi}Kdt\neq 0$. Let us denote by $K*F_p$ the class of functions of the form $x=K*\psi$, $\psi\in F_p$. Further we will suppose that $M_j=K_j*F_{p_j}$, $j=1,...,n$, where $K_j\in L_1$.

Denote by $d_N(M,C)$ the Kolmogorov n-width of the set M in the space C (see, e. g., [3], .109).

Theorem 1. *Let $K_1,...,K_n\in L_1$. Then, for any sets of linear functionals $T_j=(T_{j,1},...,T_{j,m_j})$, $j=1,...,n$, $T_{j,l}:\operatorname{span}(K_j*F_1)\to\mathbb{R}$, $l=1,...,m_j$ and for any method of recovery Φ*

$$R(K_1*F_1,...,K_n*F_1;T_1,...,T_n;\Phi;L_1)\geq$$

$$\geq d_{\min\{m_1,...,m_n\}}((K_1*K_2*...*K_n)(-\cdot)*F_\infty,C).$$

☐ Let, for definiteness, $\min\{m_1,\dots,m_n\}=m_1$. Due to the Lemma 1 we only need to prove the following inequality

$$A_1:=\sup_{\substack{x_1\in(K_1*F_1)(T_1)\\ x_2\in K_2*F_1,...,x_n\in K_n*F_1}}\|(x_1*x_2*...*x_n)(\cdot)\|_{L_1}\geq d_{m_1}(K_1*K_2*...*K_n*F_\infty,C).$$

We have

$$A_1=\sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\,j=1,...,n,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}}\|(K_1*\psi_1)*(K_2*\psi_2)*...*(K_n*\psi_n)(\cdot)\|_{L_1}=$$

$$=\sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\,j=1,...,n,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}}\|(K_1*\ldots*K_n*\psi_1*...*\psi_n)(\cdot)\|_{L_1}=$$

$$=\sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\,j=1,...,n,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}}\sup_{\phi\in F_\infty}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(K_1*\ldots*K_n*\psi_1*...*\psi_n)(t)\phi(t)dt=$$

$$= \sup_{\phi\in F_\infty} \sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\, j=1,\dots,n,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} ((K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot) * \phi)(u)(\psi_1 * \ldots * \psi_n)(u)du =$$

$$= \sup_{\phi\in F_\infty} \sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\, j=1,\dots,n,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}} \max_t \int\limits_0^{2\pi} ((K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot) * \phi)(u)(\psi_1 * \ldots * \psi_{n-1})(u-t)du =$$

$$= \sup_{\phi\in F_\infty} \sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\, j=1,\dots,n-1,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} ((K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot) * \phi)(u)(\psi_1 * \ldots * \psi_{n-1})(u)du = \ldots =$$

$$= \sup_{\phi\in F_\infty} \sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\, j=1,2,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} ((K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot) * \phi)(u)(\psi_1 * \psi_2)(u)du =$$

$$= \sup_{\phi\in F_\infty} \sup_{\substack{\psi_j\in F_1,\\ T_1(K*\psi_1)=\theta}} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} ((K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot) * \phi)(u)\psi_1(u)du.$$

Functionals $T_{1,1}, ..., T_{1,m_1}$ functionals allow a continuous continuations $T'_{1,1}, ..., T'_{1,m_1}$ to the whole space L_1. Let functionals $T'_{1,j}$ have the form

$$T'_{1,j}(x_1) = \int\limits_0^{2\pi} x_1(t)g_{1,j}(t)dt, j = 1, ..., m_1,$$

where $g_{1,j}$ are fixed functions from L_∞.

The condition $T_1(K_1 * \psi_1) = \theta$ means that for $j = 1, \ldots, m_1$

$$\int\limits_0^{2\pi} (K_1 * \psi_1)(t)g_{1,j}(t)dt = 0,$$

or

$$\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} K_1(t-\tau)\psi_1(\tau)d\tau g_{1,j}(t)dt = 0,$$

or

$$\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \psi_1(\tau) \int\limits_0^{2\pi} K_1(t-\tau)g_{1,j}(t)dtd\tau = 0.$$

Thus, the condition $T_1(K_1 * \psi_1) = \theta$ means that $\psi_1 \perp K_1(-\cdot) * g_{1,j}$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, m_1$. Therefore, taking into account the S. M. Nikol'sky's duality theorem (see [3], . 120 proposition 3.4.4), we obtain

$$A_1 = \sup_{\phi\in F_\infty} \sup_{\substack{\psi_1\in F_1,\\ \psi_1\perp K_1(-\cdot)*g_{1,j},\, j=1,\dots,m_1}} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} ((K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot) * \phi)(u)\psi_1(u)du =$$

$$= \sup_{\phi\in F_\infty} E((K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot) * \phi); H(T_1))_C,$$

where $E(x; H(T_1))_C$ is the best approximation of a function x by subspace

$$H(T_1) = \operatorname{span}\{K_1(-\cdot) * g_{1,1}, K_1(-\cdot) * g_{1,2}, ..., K_1(-\cdot) * g_{1,m_1}\}$$

in the space C.

Since $\dim H(T_1) \leq m_1$ we obtain taking into account the definition of Kolmogorov n-width,

$$A_1 \geq d_{m_1}((K_1 * K_2 * ... * K_n)(-\cdot) * F_\infty, C).$$

The Theorem 1 is proved. $\square$ Let us denote by H^T_{2s-1}, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ the set of trigonometric polynomials of the order not greater than $s-1$. A kernel K, which is continuous on $(0; 2\pi)$ and is not a trigonometric polynomial, will be called a CVD - kernel (in short $K \in CVD$), if for every $\phi \in C$, $\nu(K * \phi) \leq \nu(\phi)$, where $\nu(g)$ is the number of sing changes of the function g on a period. Series of topics related to the theory of CVD - kernels are presented in [4], [5].

Let $K \in CVD$. Then it satisfies assumptions of theorem 4.1 from [6] and, hence, if $\varphi_s t = \operatorname{sign} \sin st$, σ is the point of the absolute maximum or absolute minimum of the function $K * \varphi_s$, then there exists a unique polynomial
$P_{s,\sigma} = P_{s,\sigma}(K) \in {H^T}_{2s-1}$, interpolating $K(t)$ at points $\sigma + \frac{m\pi}{s}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, if all points $\sigma + \frac{m\pi}{s}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are continuity at points of K. If K has a discontinuity at null, and $0 \in \{\sigma + \frac{m\pi}{s} | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then there exists a unique polynomial $P_{s,\sigma} = P_{s,\sigma}(K) \in {H^T}_{2s-1}$, interpolating K at points $\sigma + \frac{m\pi}{s} \not\equiv 0 (\operatorname{mod} 2\pi)$. The polynomial $P_{s,\sigma} = P_{s,\sigma}(K)$ (see [6] (theorems 2.4, 4.2 and §5), [7]) is the best L_1 approximation polynomial for K and additionally

$$\begin{aligned} \|K - P_{s,\sigma}\|_{L_1} &= \|K(-\cdot) - P_{s,\sigma}(-\cdot)\|_{L_1} = \|K * \varphi_s\|_\infty = \\ &= d_{2s-1}(K * F_\infty; C) = d_{2s-1}(K(-\cdot) * F_\infty; C). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Below, considering the problem of recovery of the convolution $x_1 * \ldots * x_n$, where $x_1 \in K_1 * F_1, \ldots x_n \in K_n * F_1$, we will suppose that the kernels $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ are such that $K_1 * \ldots * K_n \in CVD$.

Let $a_j(x)$, $b_j(x)$ be the Fourier coefficients of a function $x \in L_1$, i.e.

$$a_j(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} x(t) \cos jt dt, \quad b_j(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} x(t) \sin jt dt,$$

$$c_j(x) = \frac{a_j(x) - i b_j(x)}{2}, \quad c_{-j}(x) = \frac{a_j(x) + i b_j(x)}{2}, \quad j = 0, 1, ...$$

Set

$$\alpha_j = \frac{c_j(P_{n,\sigma}(K_1 * K_2 * ... * K_n))}{(2\pi)^{n-1} c_j(K_1) c_j(K_2) ... c_j(K_n)}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, ..., \pm(s-1).$$

Note that it follows from the assumption $K_1 * \ldots * K_n \in CVD$ that all coefficients $c_j(K_1), c_j(K_2), ..., c_j(K_n)$ are not equal to zero for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let

$$T_l{}^* = (a_0(x_l), a_1(x_l), ..., a_{N-1}(x_l), b_1(x_l), ..., b_{N-1}(x_l)), l = 1, ..., n, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\Phi^*(T_1{}^*(x_1), ..., T_n{}^*(x_n))(t) = \sum_{j=-(s-1)}^{s-1} \alpha_j c_j(x_1) ... c_j(x_n) e^{ijt}. \tag{7}$$

If $x_1 = K * \psi_1 \in K_1 * F_1, ..., x_n = K_n * \psi_n \in K_n * F_1$, then for $g_1, ..., g_n \in L_1$

$$c_j(g_1 * g_2 * ... * g_n) = (2\pi)^{n-1} c_j(g_1) c_j(g_2) ... c_j(g_n), j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Analogously to the transformation of the value A_1 in the proof of the Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\|x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n(\cdot) - \Phi^*(T_1{}^*(x_1), ..., T_n{}^*(x_n); \cdot)\|_{L_1} =$$

$$= \|K_1 * ... * K_n * \psi_1 * ... * \psi_n - P_{s,\sigma}(K_1 * ... * K_n) * \psi_1 * ... * \psi_n\|_{L_1} =$$

$$= \sup_{\phi \in F_\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} [(K_1 * \ldots * K_n * \psi_1 * ... * \psi_n)(t) - P_{s,\sigma}(K_1 * ... * K_n) * \psi_1 * ... * \psi_n] \phi(t) dt =$$

$$= \sup_{\phi \in F_\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} [(K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot)(t) - (P_{s,\sigma}(K_1 * ... * K_n))(-\cdot)(t)] * \phi(t) \cdot (\psi_1 * ... * \psi_n)(t) dt.$$

It follows that

$$\|x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n(\cdot) - \Phi^*(T_1{}^*(x_1), ..., T_n{}^*(x_n); \cdot)\|_{L_1} \le$$

$$\le \sup_{\phi \in F_\infty} \|[(K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot)(t) - (P_{s,\sigma}(K_1 * ... * K_n))(-\cdot)] * \phi\|_C \|\psi_1 * ... * \psi_n\|_{L_1} \le$$

$$\le \sup_{\phi \in F_\infty} \|[(K_1 * \ldots * K_n)(-\cdot)(t) - (P_{s,\sigma}(K_1 * ... * K_n))(-\cdot)] * \phi\|_C \le$$

$$\le \|(K_1 * ... * K_n)(-\cdot) - (P_{s,\sigma}(K_1 * ... * K_n))(-\cdot)\|_{L_1} \le \|K_1 * ... * K_n - P_{s,\sigma}(K_1 * ... * K_n)\|_{L_1}.$$

Taking into account the relations (5), we have

$$\|x_1 * x_2 * ... * x_n(\cdot) - \Phi^*(T_1{}^*(x_1), ..., T_n{}^*(x_n); \cdot)\|_{L_1} \le$$

$$\le d_{2s-1}(K_1 * ... * K_n * F_\infty; C).$$

Thus,

$$R(K_1 * F_1, K_2 * F_1, ..., K_n * F_1; T_1, ..., T_n; \Phi^*; L_1) \le$$

$$\le d_{2s-1}(K_1 * ... * K_n * F_\infty; C) = \|K_1 * ... * K_n * \varphi_s\|_C.$$

Taking into account the lower estimate, which is given by Theorem 1, and the monotonicity of the widths $d_m(M, C)$ as m growths, we see that the following theorem holds true.

Theorem 2. *Let kernels $K_1, K_2, ..., K_n$ be such that $K_1 * K_2 * ... * K_n \in CVD$, let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $N = n(2s-1)$. Then*

$$R_{n(2s-1)}(K_1 * F_1, K_2 * F_1, ..., K_n * F_1; L_1) = R_{2s-1,...,2s-1}(K_1 * F_1, K_2 * F_1, ..., K_n * F_1; L_1) =$$

$$= d_{2s-1}(K_1 * K_2 * ... * K_n * F_\infty, C) = \|K_1 * K_2 * ... * K_n * \varphi_s\|_C.$$

Additionally, the optimal information is given by equality (6), and the corresponding optimal method is given by equality (7).

References

1. Babenko V. F. The optimal evaluation of convolutions of functions from various classes, Proc. Int. Symp. on the Const. Function Theory (Varna, May, 1989), Bulg. Acad. Sci., Sophia (1989), p. 5-6.
2. Babenko V. F., Rudenko A. A. Optimal reconstruction of convolution and scalar products of functions from various classes, Ukr. Math. J., 1991, 43, 10, p. 1305-1310.
3. Korneichuk N. P. Splines in Approximation Theory, Moskow, Nauka, 1984.
4. Mairhuber J. C., Schoenberg I. J., Williamson R. E. On variation diminishing transformations on the circle, Rend. Circ. Mat. Polermo, 1959, 8, 2, p. 241-270.
5. Karlin S. Total Positivity, Stranford, Calif.: Stanford Univ. press, 1968, V.I. - 540 p.
6. Babenko V. F. The approximation of classes of convolutions, Sib. Math. J., 1987, 28, 5, p. 6-21.
7. Pinkus A. On n-width of periodic functions, J. Anal. Math., 1979, 35, p. 209-235.